

Appl. No. 10/643,115
Examiner: NGUYEN, DILINH P, Art Unit 2814
In response to the Office Action dated October 5, 2005

Date: January 3, 2005
Attorney Docket No. 10112761

REMARKS

Responsive to the Office Action mailed on October 5, 2005 in the above-referenced application, Applicant respectfully requests amendment of the above-identified application in the manner identified above and that the patent be granted in view of the arguments presented. No new matter has been added by this amendment.

Present Status of Application

Claims 1-7, 9-10 and 22 are allowed. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Applicant Admitted Prior Art in view of JaiPrakash (US 6,605,504).

In this paper, claim 1 is amended to correct a typographical error. Claim 21 is amended to include all the limitations of claim 22. In view of the allowance of claim 22, claim 21 is now believed to be in condition for allowance. Claim 22 is canceled.

Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested in light of the amendments and the remarks contained below.

Conclusion

The Applicant believes that the application is now in condition for allowance and respectfully requests so.

Respectfully submitted,



Nelson A. Quintero
Reg. No. 52,143
Customer No. 34,283
Telephone: (310) 401-6180

P114439NAQ